

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of America

V.

1

Case No: 0419 3:22CR00137-001

Dela Euzene May

1

USM No. 01935-510

Date of Original Judgment: 02/23/2023  
Date of Previous Amended Judgment: \_\_\_\_\_

200

Pro Se  
*Defendant's Attorney*

**ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION  
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)**

Upon motion of  the defendant  the Director of the Bureau of Prisons  the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,

**IT IS ORDERED** that the motion is:

(See Page 2 for additional parts. Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted)

Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment dated 04/03/2023, shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed: December 15, 2023

Effective Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
(if different from order date)

*Frank D. Whitney*  
Frank D. Whitney  
United States District Judge

**This page contains information that should not be filed in court unless under seal.**  
*(Not for Public Disclosure)*

DEFENDANT: Dela Euzene May

CASE NUMBER: 0419 3:22CR00137

DISTRICT: Western District of North Carolina

**I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (*Prior to Any Departures*)**

Previous Total Offense Level: 23

Amended Total Offense Level: 23

Criminal History Category: III

Criminal History Category: III

Previous Guideline Range: 57 to 71 months

Amended Guideline Range: 57 to 71 months

**II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO THE AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE**

- The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
- The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a substantial assistance departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
- The reduced sentence is above the amended guideline range.

**III. FACTORS CONSIDERED UNDER USSG § 1B1.10 AND 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) (*See Chavez-Meza v. United States, 138 S.Ct. 1959 (2018)*)**

In this case, the application of Amendment 821 reduces the defendant's status points to zero resulting in a total of 4 criminal history points, criminal history category III. Since the criminal history category does not change, the application of Amendment 821 provides no change in this case.